



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/014,180	11/13/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US 010567	2684	
24737 75	90 09/13/2004	*	EXAM	INER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			WONG, LESLIE		
P.O. BOX 3001	MANOR, NY 10510	,	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BRIMCEITT	William, IVI 10310		2177		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W				
	10/014,180	GUTTA ET AL.	O'				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie Wong	2177					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	lay 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of Applicant's Amendment, filed 28 May 2004, is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Bieganski et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,334,127 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19-23, **Bieganski** teaches a method, system, article of manufacture for assigning an item to one or more groups of items (col. 8, lines 24-53), each of said items characterized by at least one symbolic feature, said method comprising the steps of:

a). computing a distance between corresponding symbolic feature values of said item and at least one item in each of said groups, said distance based on an overall similarity of classification of all instances for each possible value of said symbolic

Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

feature values (i.e., distance = serendipity control value) (col. 13, lines 6-17; lines 32-45);

- b). aggregating the distances between each of said features values to determine the closeness of said item and at least one item in each of said groups
   (col. 14, lines 32-56); and
- c). assigning said item to said group associated with a minimum distance value (col. 7, lines 9-39).

Regarding claims 2-6 and 11-15, **Bieganski** further teaches wherein said computing step employs a Value Difference Metric (VDM) technique to compute said distance between symbolic features (col. 6, lines 54-66).

Regarding claims 7-9 and 16-18, **Bieganski** further teaches wherein said items are programs (col. 16, line 29 – col. 18, line 52).

## Response to Argument

4. Applicants' arguments filed 28 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Bieganski teaches multiplying the recommendation value by the serendipity control value and that aggregating or adding distances is nowhere taught or suggested in Bieganski.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

In response to the preceding arguments, Examiner respectfully submits that Applicants' invention relates to methods of recommending items (i.e., recommender system) of interests such as a television program to users. Applicants' invention determines the similarity or closeness between two items by aggregating or adding the distances between each of the symbolic feature values. As indicated on page 11 of Applicants' remarks, Bieganski discloses an electronic processing system for generating a serendipity-weighted recommendation output so that low value recommendations are not made. Applicants admitted that Bieganski teaches aggregating the distances between each of the symbolic feature values to determine the closeness of two items. Thus, Bieganski's and Applicants' invention are in the same field of endeavor and the applied prior art teaches related subject matter (i.e., recommender system) in regard to Applicants' invention. Additionally, Bieganski teaches multiplying the recommendation value by the serendipity control value and then it is added (i.e., aggregated) to the set of serendipity-weighted and filtered recommendations at step 1308 to determine the closeness of two items at col. 14, lines 46-49. Hence, Bieganski satisfies the limitation as claimed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2177

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 305-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

l W. Kindred

Leslie Wong

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2177

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

September 1, 2004